## A Homicide in the Ukraine

# DNA-based Identification of a Boiled, Skeletonized, and Varnished Human Skull, and of Bone Fragments Found in a Fireplace

Yuri Sivolap, Ph.D., G. Krivda, Ph.D., N. Kozhuhova, Ph.D., S. Chebotar, Ph.D., and Mark Benecke, Ph.D.

In an apartment, bone fragments were found in a fireplace. Furthermore, a varnished skull was found elsewhere in the same apartment. The tenant confessed to a murder and stated that the head of a victim, a girl, was boiled for 12 hours. He stated that the soft tissue was then removed and the skull was varnished. Other parts of the body were burned to ashes in an open field. Comparison of loci D19S252, CD4, CYAR04, TII01, F13A01, F13B, and D6S366 from the skull and the bone remains to loci of the mother of a missing girl showed that the skull came from that missing child. Biological maternity was calculated as 99.99%. The bone pieces were DNA typed as male and did not share alleles with the mother in several systems. Therefore, they belonged to a different (human) victim. **Key Words:** STR DNA typing—Genetic fingerprint—Ashes—Homicide—Hypervariable DNA.

Hypervariable regions consisting of tandem repeated nucleotide sequences allow the individualization or the determination of the origin of biologic material (1–3). The alleles show somatic stability, and they are inherited according to classic mendelian genetics (4). In contrast to long minisatellite DNA stretches (up to sizes of 20 kb), short tandem repeats as a standard tool for forensic biologic investigations, consisting of short stretches of tandem repeats with a basic motiv (DNA core sequence) length of 2–7 bp (average size range: 80–400 bp), and with a high level of allelic polymorphism (average 8–12 alleles) (3,5–7), were used for the following investigation that was performed for the Bureau of Medico-Legal Investigations in Odessa, Ukraine.

#### CASE REPORT

In an apartment, a varnished skull of a child, and bone fragments in a fireplace, were found (Fig. 1). The tenant and two other persons confessed to the murder of a girl in November 1997 that had taken place in the woods near a settlement of the Aeronaval area of Simferopol. The suspects said they had cut off the head of their victim, boiled it for approximately 12 hours, removed the soft tissue, covered the skull with varnish, and burned the rest of the body. We performed a maternity test on the skull and on the bone fragments, based on a comparison of the genotypes with those of the suspected victims. The father could not be located.

### **DNA Isolation: Liquid Blood**

Chelex extraction (8) was performed, and 5  $\mu$ l of supernatant was used for polymerase chain reaction. Fragments of skull temple bones and bones found in the fireplace were subjected to these procedures: spongiosa (inner bone material) was powdered by a metal file and incubated for 3 hours in 1 ml of double-distilled water, and then centrifuged in an Eppendorf tube. The super-

Manuscript received September 22, 2000; accepted April 30, 2001. From the Institute for Plant Breeding and Genetics, Odessa University, Department of Gene Engineering, Odessa, Ukraine (Y.S., G.K.,

N.K., S.C.), and International Forensic Research and Consulting, Köln, Germany (M.B.).

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Mark Benecke, International Forensic Research and Consulting, Postfach 250411, 50520 Köln, Germany; email: forensic@benecke.com



**FIG. 1.** Boiled and varnished skull of missing person. (**A**) Top part. (**B**) Bottom part.

natant was used for Chelex extraction. All extracts were stored at  $-4^{\circ}$ C. For amplification, 20  $\mu$ l reaction mix (conditions as given in (9–12)) on a Tercik thermocycler (DNA Technology, Russia). The DNA oligonucleotide primers for PCR were synthesized in our own laboratories on a DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems 310). For electrophoresis and analysis, 5  $\mu$ l of PCR product each, electrophoresis in 10% polyacrylamide (PAG; length 16 cm, thickness 0.75 mm, Hoefer Scientific gel chamber); silver staining of the PAG was performed according to (13). Digitalized gels were analyzed with Kodak Digital Science EDAS 120 software.

#### **Results of Analysis**

Comparison of the loci D19S252, HUMCD4, HUMCYAR04, HUMTH01, HUMF13A01, HUMF13B, and D6S366 showed that the DNA of the mother shared fragments with the DNA of the skull (Table 1). Maternity was calculated according to (14) with  $P = p_a(2-p_a)$  for the case that the genotype of the child was homozygous, or  $P = p_a(2-p_a)+p_b(2-p_b)-2p_a p_b$  for a heterozygous profile. *P* values were as follows: D19S252, 0.126; HUMCD4, 0.108; HUMCYAR04, 0.136; HUMTH01, 0.423; HUMF13A01, 0.045; HUMF13B, 0.474; and

	Alleles	Length (bp)	Frequencies
Mother	5, 8	208,220	0.028, 0.037
Skull	5, 9	208,224	0.028, 0.037
Bones	6, 7	212,216	0.028, 0.234
Mother	12, 12	150,150	0.316, 0.316
Skull	12, 13	150,154	0.316, 0.251
Bones	11, 13	146,154	0.059, 0.251
Mother	3, 3	173,173	0.035, 0.035
Skull	3, 4	173,177	0.035, 0.035
Bones	4, 5	177,181	0.035, 0.386
Skull		106,106	
Bones		106,112	
Mother	15, 15	329,329	0.023, 0.023
Skull	15, 15	329,329	0.023, 0.023
Mother	6, 9	169,181	0.180, 0.275
Skull	9, 9	181,181	0.275, 0.275
Mother	13, 14	160,165	0.025, 0.031
Skull	13, 14	160,165	0.025, 0.031
Mother	6, 9	190,194	0.044, 0.382
Skull	4, 6	186,190	0.196, 0.044
Bones	6, 9	190,194	0.044, 0.382
	Skull Bones Mother Skull Bones Skull Bones Skull Bones Skull Mother Skull Mother Skull Mother Skull	Mother 5, 8   Skull 5, 9   Bones 6, 7   Mother 12, 12   Skull 12, 13   Bones 11, 13   Mother 3, 3   Skull 3, 4   Bones 4, 5   Skull 3, 4   Bones 4, 5   Skull 15, 15   Mother 15, 15   Mother 6, 9   Skull 9, 9   Mother 13, 14   Mother 6, 9   Skull 13, 14	Mother 5, 8 208,220   Skull 5, 9 208,224   Bones 6, 7 212,216   Mother 12, 12 150,150   Skull 12, 13 150,154   Bones 11, 13 146,154   Mother 3, 3 173,173   Skull 3, 4 173,177   Bones 4, 5 177,181   Skull 106,106 Bones   Bones 106,112 Mother   Mother 15, 15 329,329   Skull 15, 15 329,329   Mother 6, 9 169,181   Skull 9, 9 181,181   Mother 13, 14 160,165   Skull 9, 9 181,181   Mother 13, 14 160,165   Skull 13, 14 160,165   Mother 6, 9 190,194   Skull 4, 6 186,190

TABLE 1. Allele frequencies of the genotypes found

Allele designation, and frequencies according to references 9, 10, 11, 12.

D6S366, 0.812. The cumulative probability of a random match of genotypes  $(P_{cum})$  was calculated as the product of probabilities of single loci:  $P_{\rm cum} = 0.0000134$ . The probability for biologic maternity (n) was calculated with  $n = (1 - P_{cum}) \times 100\% = (1 - 0.0000134) \times 100\% =$ 99.99%. The bone fragments in the fireplace did not match the mother's DNA profile. The amplification results from the sex-specific locus amelogenin showed that the skull bones belonged to a female and that the bones found in the fireplace belonged to a male. IT was concluded that with a probability of 99.99%, the skull removed from the apartment originated from the suspected victim, a girl, and that the bones found in the fireplace and the skull belonged to different individuals. These results show that body parts that have been actively heated during a prolonged period can be DNA typed as well as the remains of mass disaster victims (15–17).

#### REFERENCES

- Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL. Hypervariable "minisatellite" regions in human DNA. *Nature* 1985;314:67–73.
- Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL, Weatherall DJ, Ponder BAJ. DNA "fingerprints" and segregation analysis of multiple markers in human pedigrees. *Am J Hum Genet* 1986;39:11–24.
- Benecke M. DNA typing in forensic medicine and in criminal investigations: a current survey. *Naturwissenschaften* 1998;84: 181–8.
- Wells R. Genome dactylography. In: Davies K, ed. Genome analysis [Russian]. Moscow: Mir, 1990:191–213.
- Edwards A, Civitello A, Hammond HA, Caskey CT. DNA typing and genetic mapping with trimeric and tetrameric tandem repeats. *Am J Hum Genet* 1991;49:746–56.
- Edwards A, Hammond HA, Lin L, Chakraborty R. Genetic variation of five trimeric tandem repeat loci in four human population groups. *Genomics* 1992;12:241–3.
- Polymeropoulos MH, Xiao H, Rath DS, Merril CR. Tetranucleotide repeat polymorphism at the human aromotase cytochrome P450 gene (CYP19). *Nucleic Acids Res* 1991;19:195.

- Walsh P, Metsger D, Higuchi R. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques* 1991;10:506–13.
- Chistiakov DA, Chelnokova MV, Efremov IA, Stupakova MV, Odinokova OI, Nosikov VV. Distribution of alleles of microsatellite loci HUMCYAR04 and D19S253 in population samples of two Russian cities. [Russian]. *Genetika* 1997;33:262–8.
- Efremov I, Zaiats M, Ivanov P. An expert evaluation of molecular genetic individualizing systems based on the HUMvW-FII and D6S366 tetranucleotide tandem repeats [Russian]. Sud Med Ekspert 1998;42:33–6.
- Sullivan KM, Mannucci A, Kimpton CP, Gill P. A rapid and quantitative DNA sex test: fluorescence-based PCR analysis of X-Y homologous gene amelogenin. *Biotechniques* 1993;15: 636-8;640-1.
- Turakulov RI, Chistiakov DA, Odinokova ON, Nosikov VV. Allelic polymorphism of short tandemly repeating sequences of the HUMF13A01 and HUMCD4 loci in Russian populations from Moscow and Tomsk [Russian]. *Genetika* 1997;33: 979–85.

- Lomholt B, Frederiksen S. Detection of a few picograms of DNA on polyacrylamide gels by silver staining. *Anal Biochem* 1987;164:146–9.
- 14. Perepechina I, Grishechkin S. *Probability in DNA typing: methodical recommendations* [Russian]. Moscow: EKC MIA of Russia, 1996:1–16.
- Clayton TM, Whitaker JP, Maguire CN. Identification of bodies from the scene of a mass disaster using DNA amplification of short tandem repeat (STR) loci. *Forensic Sci Int* 1995;76: 7–15.
- Clayton TM, Whitaker JP, Fisher DL, et al. Further validation of a quadruplex STR DNA typing system: a collaborative effort to identify victims of a mass disaster. *Forensic Sci Int* 1995;76:17–25.
- Frégeau CJ, Leclair B, Bowen K, Elliott J, Borys S, Fourney R (2000) The Swissair Flight 111 disaster. Short tandem repeat mutations observed. In: Sensabaugh GF, Lincoln PJ, Olaisen B, eds. *Progress in forensic genetics* 8. Amsterdam: Elsevier: 2000;40–2.